

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/319,828                                      | 06/11/1999  | WALTER GUENTER       | GUENTER-1(P         | 3616             |
| 7590 03/30/2004                                 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| COLLARD & ROE                                   |             |                      | AHMED, SHEEBA       |                  |
| 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD<br>ROSLYN, NY 115761696 |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 1773                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/319,828   | GUENTER, WALTER  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Sheeba Ahmed   | 1773   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection.<br>HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli   | ng a corresponding number of f   | inally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attached sheet</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .  |  | •  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: None.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>15,19,20,22 and 23</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| . ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/319,828

Art Unit: 1773

1. The Amendment After Final submitted on February 3, 2004 raises new issues that would require additional search and consideration and the specific amendments to independent claim 15 raise the issue of new matter and hence have not been entered in the above-identified application.

The addition of the phrase "without a support layer" to independent claim 15 and the phrase "having a thickness of about 5 microns" is newly presented and would require further search and consideration. Furthermore, the phrase "without a support layer" in independent claim 15 raises the issue of new matter given that any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure.

If alternative elements are positively recited in the specification, they may be explicitly excluded in the claims but the mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 8am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (571)272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/319,828

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 1773

March 11, 2004